

COMMON DIAGNOSTIC TESTS USED FOR SARS-CoV-2 INFECTION

	Molecular Tests Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) or Nucleic Acid Amplification	Antigen Tests	Antibody Tests
Examples	Cepheid Xpert Xpress BioFire COVID-19 panels	Abbott rapid antigen home test BinaxNOW COVID-19 Ag Card	Luminex xMAP Multi-Antigen IgG Assay EUROIMMUN QuantiVac ELISA
Collected From	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Saliva, nasal, nasopharyngeal, sputum, tracheal, deep bronchial 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Saliva or nasopharyngeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Serology (blood)
Clinical Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To achieve both high sensitivity (between 0.76-0.94) and specificity (1.00) in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To quickly (10-45 min) detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2 These are often POC tests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To assist in diagnosing a previous SARS-CoV-2 infection
Sensitivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity is higher among rapid RT-PCR and standard lab-based RT-PCR platforms (0.94-1.00) compared with rapid isothermal RT-PCR platforms (approximately 0.70-0.81) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity is somewhat reduced compared with RT-PCR (0.80-0.94) but is increased when used 5-7 days into illness when viral replication is high (WHO) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assays may detect IgM, IgG, or both No obvious difference in the performance of assays designed to detect the N protein or various portions of the S protein Timing and frequency of seroreversion to undetectable is unknown Pooled sensitivities of IgM and IgG at 2 weeks post-infection are 0.73 and 0.68, respectively; both specificities ≥ 0.98 Pooled sensitivities of total antibody assays at 2 weeks post-infection are 0.94 with a specificity of 1.00 No significant difference in assay sensitivity detecting IgM compared with IgG in the first weeks following infection
Specificity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specificity across all PCR platforms are excellent (0.96-1.00) Most RT-PCR platforms report positive results on the basis of a cycle threshold (Ct) The Ct is inversely proportional to viral load 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specificity is >0.97 	
Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased sensitivity among non-rapid isothermal RT-PCRs; excellent specificity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results available quickly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May assist in the diagnosis of a previous or protracted illness
Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A positive result may not represent active infection or correlate with infectiousness Sample processing and assay run-time may take longer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decreased sensitivity if not used during the period of high viral replication/early symptomatic stages of illness Specificity is very good False positives may occur in low prevalence settings (WHO) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity is variable depending on date of initial infection; false positives may occur in low prevalence settings Positive results may not represent immunity